

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,725		01/22/2001	Egbert Jux	CL/V-30578A 1309	
1095	7590	09/07/2004	•	EXAMINER	
NOVAR CORPOR		ELLECTUAL PRO	ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J		
ONE HEALTH PLAZA 430/2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST HA	ANOVER,	NJ 07936-1080		1756	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/766,725	JUX ET AL.	
riavice. y ricae.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply	y to a
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the cell attent than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropriate the final to the final to final the	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	• •		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•		-
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	> .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belov	⊠ will be entered arword wor appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.		·	
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-7,22,23,25 and 1015</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
0. Other:		after	
		Martin J Angebranno Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1756	it

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: contrary to the position argued by the applicant, the makring by discoloartion or simple recessing is disclosed in Kiyosaki et al. JP 08-047784 [0005] and the instant specification does not preclude the polymer as the pigment, particularly when noting the use of IR to mark the polymer as set forth in the instant claims. This infers that the polymer itself is an IR pigment. The examiner also notes the direction in Kim et al. '878 to coloring the polymers with visible spectrum absrobing pigments. The examiner notes that Dui is treated, but the rejection also includes Kiyosaki et al., therefore the applicant's treatment of the rejection is piece meal at best. The rejections stand.